

Datenbanksysteme II: Query Execution

Ulf Leser

Content of this Lecture

- Overview: Query optimization
- Relational operators
- Query execution models
- Implementing (some) relational operators

5 Layer Architecture



Query Optimization

- We have
 - Structured Query Language SQL
 - Relational algebra
 - How to access tuples in many ways (scan, index, ...)
- Now
 - Given a SQL query
 - Find a fast way and order of accessing tuples from different tables such that the answer to the query is computed
 - Usually, we won't find the best way, but avoid the worst
 - Use knowledge about value distributions, access paths, query operators, IO cost, ...
 - Compile a declarative query in an "optimal" executable program

- Translate query in a logical query execution plan (QEP)
 Structured representation of a relational algebra expression
- Logical optimization: QEPs are rewritten in other, semantically equivalent and hopefully faster QEPs
 - E.g., selection is commutative: $\sigma_A(\sigma_B(expr)) = \sigma_B(\sigma_A(expr))$
- Physical optimization: For each (relational) operator in the query, we have multiple possible implementations
 - Table access: scan, indexes, sorted access through index, ...
 - Joins: Nested loop, sort-merge, hash, ...
- Query execution: Execute the best query plan found

Overview Optimization



Overview Optimization



Adaptive Optimization



Example SQL query

SELECT title
FROM starsIn i, movieStar m
WHERE i.starName = m.name AND
m.birthday<1970;</pre>

(Find all movies with stars born before 1970)



Relational Algebra / Logical Query Plan



Improved Logical Query Plan



Physical Plan



Overview

- Today: Implementation of one-table relational operators
 Projection, selection, scans, group-by
- Next topic: Physical join implementations
 - Blocked nested loop, sort-based, hash-based
- Next: Query optimization
 - Query rewriting, plan reordering
- Next: Cost estimation
 - For cost-based query optimization

Content of this Lecture

- Overview: Query optimization
- Relational operators
- Query execution models
- Implementing (some) relational operators

Relational Operations: One Table

- In the following: Table means table or intermediate result
- Selection σ: WHERE clause
 - Read table and filter tuples based on condition
 - Selection never increases table length (selectivity)
 - Conjunctions, disjunction, equality, negation, ...
 - [A join is a selection, but special treatment in order]
 - Implementation: Scan or index (depending on selectivity)
- **Projection** π : SELECT clause
 - Read tuples and manipulate columns
 - With DISTINCT clause: Duplicates must be filtered
 - Projection usually decreases breadth of table smaller result size
 - When not?
 - Implementation: While computing results

One Table cont'd

• Group-by: Grouping and aggregation

- Put all tuples with equal values in all grouping attributes into one bag; output one tuple per bag by aggregating other values
- Reduces number of tuples (how much?)
- Implementation by sorting or hashing
- Distinct: Duplicate elimination
 - Read table and remove all duplicate tuples
 - Implementation by sorting or hashing
- Order-by: Sorting
 - Always last clause in query, but injected often by optimizer
 - Pipeline breaker
 - Implementation: In-memory or external sorting

Relational Operations: Two Tables

- Cartesian product x
 - Read two tables and build all pairs of tuples
 - Usually avoided combine product and selection to join
 - Products in a plan are hints to wrong queries
 - Specified implicitly by FROM clause
 - Implementation: No tricks (if really requested)
- Join 🖂
 - All pairs of tuples matching the join condition
 - Natural join, theta join, equi join, semi join, outer join
 - Expensive, often very selective favorite target of optimizers
 - Possibility: Join-order and join implementation
 - Specified implicitly or explicitly in WHERE clause
 - Implementation: Sort-based, blocked-nested loop, hash, zigzag, ...

Relational Operations: Two Queries

• Union \cup

- Read two tables and build union (by identity) of all tuples
- Duplicates are removed (alternative: UNION-ALL)
- Requires tables to have same schema
- Intersection \cap
 - Read two tables and build intersection (by identity) of tuples
 - Requires tables to have same schema
 - Same as join over all attributes
- Minus /
 - Subtract tuples of one table from tuples from the other
 - Requires tables to have same schema

Content of this Lecture

- Overview: Query optimization
- Relational operators
- Query execution models
- Implementing (some) relational operators



- Typical model: Operator implementations call each other to pass tuples up the tree
 - Iterator concept: Open, next, close
 - Each operator implementation needs these three methods
 - Produces deep stacks and many push/pops
 - Plan generation is simple: Nesting of operations
- Two modes: Blocked, Pipelined
 - Blocked: Most work done in open
 - Pipelined: Most work done in next
 - Pipeline-breaker only allow blocked mode (e.g. sorts)
- Modern alternative: Compile into function-free program

Example – Blocked (Sketch)





```
class projection {
open() {
  j = join.open();
  while j.next(t)
    tmp[i++]=t.title;
  j.close();
  cnt:=0;
}
next(t) {
  if (cnt<tmp.max)</pre>
    t = tmp[cnt++];
    return true;
  else return false;
}
close() {
  discard(tmp);
}
}
```

```
class join {
open() {
  1 = table.open(starsIn);
  while l.next(tl)
    r = table.open(movieStar)
    while r.next(tr)
      if tl.starname=tr.name
        tmp[i++]=tl⊠tr;
    r.close();
  end while;
  l.close();
  cnt:=0;
}
next(t) {
 if (cnt<tmp.max)</pre>
    t = tmp[cnt++];
    return true;
  else return false;
ł
close() {
  discard( tmp);
}}
```

Blocked Execution

- Traditional model
- Advantages
 - Does always work, for all operators
 - Simple to understand and implement
 - Highly extensible (common open-fetch-close API)
 - Classical optimization goal: Minimize size of intermediate results
- Disadvantages
 - Requires large buffers in memory
 - Leads to "blocked" result arrival difficult for downstream apps
 - Think of web side display
 - Difficult to parallelize (no operator parallelism)

Example – Pipelined (Sketch)



```
p = projection.open();
while p.next(t)
    output t;
p.close();
class projection {
open() {
  j = join.open();
next(t) {
  if j.next( t)
    t = title;
    return true;
  else
    return false;
close() {
  j.close();
```

}

}

```
class join {
open() {
  l = table.open(starsIn);
  r = table.open(movieStar);
  l.next( tl);
next( t) {
  if r.next(tr)
    if tl.starname=tr.name
      t=tl⊠tr;
      return true;
    else
      next (t);
  else
    if l.next(tl)
      r.close();
      r = table.open(movieStar);
      return next(t);
    else
      return false;
close() {
  l.close();
  r.close();
}}
```

Pipelined versus Blocked

- Pipelining much preferred
 - Very little demand for buffer space
 - When intermediate results are large, buffers need to be stored on disk

R

- Different ops within query can be assigned to different threads
 - Overlapping execution
- Results come early and continuously
- Pipeline breaker cannot be pipelined
 - next() can be executed only after entire input was read
 - Examples
 - Sorting
 - Exception: When input is sorted
 - Grouping and aggregation
 - Depending on implementation
 - Minus, intersection

- Projection with duplicate elimination
 - When implemented with sorting pipeline breaker
 - But: Recall implementation without sorting
 - next() can return early
 - But we need to keep track of all values already returned requires large buffer

Example – Compiled (Sketch)



```
1 = table.open(starsIn);
r = table.open(movieStar);
go = l.next(tl);
while go do
 while r.next(tr)
    if tl.starname=tr.name
      t=tl⊠tr;
     output t.title;
  end while;
  if l.next( tl)
    r.close();
    r = table.open(movieStar);
  else
    l.close();
    r.close();
    go = false;
end while;
```

Content of this Lecture

- Overview: Query optimization
- Relational operators
- Query execution models
- Implementing (some) relational operators

- We do not discuss update, delete, insert
- Update and delete usually have embedded queries "normal" optimization
 - But: data tuples must be loaded (and locked and changed and persistently written if TX not rolled-back)
 - Some tricks don't work any more
- Insert may have query (INSERT AS SELECT ...)

- Most single table operations are straight-forward
 See book by Garcia-Molina, Ullmann, Widom for detailed discussion
- We sketch three single table operations
 - Scanning a table
 - Duplicate elimination
 - Group By
- Joins are more complicated later

Scanning a Table

- At the bottom of each operator tree are relations
- Accessing them implies a table scan
 - If table T has b blocks, this costs b IO
- Often better: Combine with next operation in plan
 - SELECT t.A, t.B FROM t WHERE A=5
 - Selection: If index on t.A available, perform index scan
 - Assume |t|=n, |A|=a different values, z=n/a tuples
 - Index has height $\sim \log_k(n)$
 - Scan B+ index and find all matching TIDs
 - Accessing z tuples from t costs 1 to z IO (sequential or random)
 - Especially effective if A is a key: Only one tuple selected, 1 IO on table
 - Projection: Integrate into table scan
 - Read complete tuples, but only pass-on attributes that are needed
 - Why not read partial tuples?

Scanning a Table 2

- Conditions can be complex SELECT t.A, t.B FROM t WHERE A=5 AND (B<4 OR B>9) AND C=`müller' ...
- Approach
 - Compute conjunctive normal form
 - Independent indexes: Find TID lists for each conjunct, then intersect
 - With MDIS: Directly find matching TIDs
 - Without indexes: Scan table and evaluate condition for each tuple
- For complex conditions and small tables, linear scanning usually is faster
 - Depends on expected result size
 - Cost-based optimization required

Duplicate Elimination

- Option 1: Sorting
- Sort table on DISTINCT columns
 Can be skipped if table is already sorted
- Scan sorted table and output only unique tuples
- Generates output in sorted order (for later reuse)
- Pipeline breaker (see later)

- Option 2: Use hashing
- Scan table and build hash table H on all unique values
 Needs good hash function, avoid conflicts
- When reading a tuple, check if it has already been seen
 - If not: insert tuple and copy it to the output; else: skip tuple
 - No pipeline breaker
 - Does not sort result (but existing sorting would remain)
- No pipeline breaker
- Memory: Problem; assumes H to fit in memory

Grouping and Aggregation

```
SELECT day_id, sum(amount*price)
FROM sales S
GROUP BY day_id
```

- Recall: SELECT may contain only GROUP BY attributes and aggregate functions
- Partition result of "inner query" by GROUP BY attributes
- For each partition, compute one result tuple: GROUP BY attributes and aggregate function applied on values of other attributes in this partition
 - Note: Depending on the aggregate function, we might need to buffer more than one value per partition – examples?



Partition

Aggregate

HAVING clause

Implementing GROUP BY

- Proceed like duplicate elimination
- Also keep to-be-aggregated attributes
 - Raw (e.g. median), intermediate (e.g. sum/count), aggregated (count, sum)
- Eventually, compute the aggregated columns
 - Simple: SUM, COUNT, MIN, MAX, ANY
 - More memory required: AVG, Top-5, median
- Pipelining? Same properties as for duplicate elimination

Computing Median

- Option 1: Partition table into k partitions
 - Scan table
 - Build (hash) table for first k different GROUP BY values
 - When reading one of first k, add value to (sorted) list
 - When reading other GROUP value, discard
 - When scan finished, output median of k groups
 - Iterate next k groups
 - Can adapt (k) to number of groups, assumes groups of similar sizes
- Option 2: Sort table on GROUP BY and Median attribute
 - Then scan sorted data
 - Buffer all values per group
 - When next group is reached, output middle value
- What if we cannot buffer all values of a group?