

#### Datenbanksysteme II: Caching and File Structures

**Ulf** Leser

## Content of this Lecture

- Caching
  - Overview
  - Accessing data
  - Cache replacement strategies
  - Prefetching
- File structure
- Index Files



# **IO Buffering**

- RDBMS requests block Y from disk buffer manager
- Buffer manager checks if ...
  - Y in cache: Grant access
  - Y not in cache
    - No free space in buffer?
      - Choose block Z in buffer
      - If Z has been changed write Z to disc
      - Mark Z as free and proceed
    - Free space available?
      - Load Y into free space
      - Grant access





#### **General Method**

- Level X requests block Y from level X+1
- Buffer manager of X+1 checks if ...
  - Y in cache: Grant access
  - Y not in cache
    - No space available?
      - Choose block Z in buffer
      - If Z has been changed write Z to disc
      - Mark Z as free and proceed
    - Space available?
      - Load Y into free space
      - Write into free space
      - Grant access



## Finding a Block

- We need to check if block Y is in buffer
  - Y is logical block ID in a virtual address space
- Possibilities
  - Memory blocks store their logical block ID
    - Find Y: Search all blocks (slow, no global data structures)
  - Mapping table "logical block ID" "physical block address"
    - List data structure for all BlockIDs in buffer
      - Sorted array, linked list, sorted linked list, hashing, ...
    - Find Y: Fast, but requires synchronized access

- By delegation: x:=getData(TID,10)
- By hardwired pointer: adr := getAddr(TID); x:=adr[10]
- Pinned tuples: References to location in main memory exist
  - Direct access possible
  - Record must not be moved
    - Would require adaptation of all references
  - Block must not be replaced without destroying existing pointers
- Unpinned tuples: No references to location exist
  - Every access requires one indirection
  - Tuple may be moved
  - Block may be written

## Content of this Lecture

- Caching
  - Overview
  - Accessing data
  - Cache replacement strategies
  - Prefetching
- File structure
- Index Files

- Imagine a nested loop join
  - Outer relation A has 10 blocks, inner relation B has 6 blocks
- Buffer size 6 blocks
- Assume Caching with FIFO (first in first out)
  - Cache is filled with A1 and B1, B2, B3, B4, B5
  - Loading B6 replaces A1
  - For next inner loop, A1 must be loaded again, replacing B1
  - For loading A2, B2 is replaced, B1 replaces B3, ...
  - Altogether: 70 reads
- FIFO is a typical OS caching strategies
- DB needs to be able to control cache behavior

#### Caching Strategies – Better Strategy

- Imagine a nested loop join
  - Outer relation A has 10 blocks, inner relation B has 6 blocks
- Buffer size 6 blocks
- Proceed as follows
  - Cache is filled with A1 and B1, B2, B3, B4, B5
  - Loading B6 replaces B5
  - For next outer loop, A2 replaces A1
  - Inner loop: B1-B4+B6 without replacement
  - B6 replaces B5
  - ...
  - Altogether: 1+6+9+9 = 25 block reads

- What to manage?
- How much to load?
  - Optimal strategy ensures block is in buffer before request
  - "Block-at-a-Time" versus "Read ahead"
- What to replace?
  - Cache replacement strategies
- Good caches requires information flow from DB layer to buffer manager
  - Example: Reading complete relation (read ahead)
  - Example: Executing a "Nested Loop Join" (fix outer-loop blocks)

- Blocks (default): OS blocks or database blocks
- Records: Not used because "sub-IO" cost
- Chunks
  - Group blocks into larger "chunks"
  - Less administration cost at buffer manager (buffer lists)
  - IO on chunks can exploit sequentially placed blocks on disk
  - Good for very large operations (large table joins or sorts)
  - [Disk controller automatically imitates chunking]
- Tables
  - Fix all blocks of heavily used tables
  - E.g.: System catalog, Oracles CACHE parameter

- Load blocks not yet needed but probably soon
- Examples
  - If block from relation is requested, also load next blocks
    - Possible full table scan?
  - If object is accessed, also load referenced objects
    - Not implemented in RDBMS, but successful in OODBMS
- Disc pre-fetching if sector is requested, read entire track
- Pre-fetching requires replacement of multiple blocks
- Using sequential and asynchronous (non-blocking) IO, prefetching costs little and can save a lot of time

## General Replacement Strategies

- Properties of blocks
  - Age(s)
    - Time since block was loaded
    - Last time accessed
  - Living references
  - Demand: Number of accesses over (recent) time
- Trade-offs

Verfahren	Prinzip	
FIFO	älteste Seite ersetzt	
LFU (least fre-	Seite mit geringster Häu-	
quently used)	figkeit ersetzen	
LRU (least recently used)	Seite ersetzen, die am längsten nicht referen- ziert wurde (System R)	
DGCLOCK (dyn. generalized clock)	Protokollierung der Erset- zungshäufigkeiten wichti- ger Seiten	
LRD (least refe- rence density)	Ersetzung der Seite mit geringster Referenzdichte	

- Young blocks have few refs, but are involved in current operations
- Old blocks have many refers, but might get out-of-use right now
- Practice
  - Query / Operator-specific strategies (explicit pinning)
  - Use / weight multiple properties

- Many general caching strategies have been (and are still) developed
- Simple strategies are surprisingly good
  - LRU or even random
  - Commercial databases: Mostly LRU
    - With fixing of blocks and special tricks for large operations

- When block is requested
  - Critical operation:
    Search blockID in queue
    - Very often performed
  - Implemented with two lists
    - Queue sorted by least access
    - Maintain pointers on first and last position
    - Hashmap: BlockIDs to queue positions (quasi-constant time)
- Access block: Delete and push on top of queue
- Evict block: Remove from bottom of queue
- Load block: Add at top of queue



- Be aware: Your data is not written immediately
  - Cache manager needs to check if writing before replacement is necessary (dirty flag)
  - With caching, data stays on volatile device much longer than without
  - Special care required recovery strategies
- Cache consistency in distributed systems
  - If more than one system caches, data may become stale
  - Requires some form of synchronization
- Cache consistency in multi-TX systems
  - If more than one TX changes data, multiple versions of a block may exist
  - Requires some form of synchronization

### Semantic Caching: Cache query result

- Example
  - Q1: "Select name from person where age>45"
  - Q2: "Select \* from person where age>18"
  - Q1 can be answered using result tuples from Q2
- Powerful but complicated technique
  - Can a query be answered using results of one or more other q's?
  - Query containment, "answering queries using views"
- Very complicated for write operations
  - Cached result blocks are not IO blocks
- Semantic caching not used by any real DB today
  - Note: Normal caching sometimes "mimics" semantic caching
  - If Q1 executed after Q2, blocks from Q2 are in cache
  - But: Computations need to be repeated (e.g. aggregation)

## Many Tasks Compete for Main Memory

Linux/UNIX/Windows Machine				
1	Instance			
	SGA			
User	Shared Pool	Database Buffer Cache	Redo	
Process	Library Cache (SOL, PL/SOL)	DB_CACHE_SIZE DB_BLOCK_SIZE	Buffer	
Server Process PGA	Data Dictionary Cache (Dictionary data)	DB_2K_CACHE_SIZE DB_8K_CACHE_SIZE DB_16K_CACHE_SIZE	Java Pool	
	PMON SMON	Large Pool	Others	

- SGA: System global area
  - Processes communicate through SGA
  - Requires locking of main memory structures latches
- Library cache: buffers SQL prepared statements using LRU
- Java pool: area for java stored procedures
- Each process additionally gets its PGA (process global area)
- Each area is limited and can become a bottleneck

Ulf Leser: Implementation of Database Systems, Winter Semester 2016/2017

## Content of this Lecture

- Caching
- File structure
  - Heap files
  - Sorted files
- Index Files

#### 5 Layer Architecture



## Files and Storage Structures

#### • We have

- Records are stored in blocks
- Blocks are managed/cached by the buffer manager
- Access records by TID through cache manager with adr-translation
- But DBs usually search records with certain properties
  - SELECT \* FROM COSTUMER
    WHERE Name = "Bond"
  - SELECT \* FROM ACCOUNT WHERE Account# < 1000
- This is not "access by TID"
- There must be more clever ways than scanning

• Records are stored sequentially in the order of inserts



End of File

- Insert always add to end of file
- "Holes" occur if records are deleted
- Minimal number of blocks : b = [n / R]
  - With n = number of records, R = number of records per block
- Better to keep some space free for growing records
  - Fraction depends on expected read/write ratio

#### **Operations on Heap Files**

- In the following: We assume highly selective searches
  - Only a few records qualify
- Search with value
  - b/2 block IO in case of successful searching a PK (on average)
  - b block IO in case of failure or searching non-unique values
- Insert record without duplicate checking
  - Remember: relational model is per-se duplicate-free
  - Simple case: read last block, add, write last block: 2 IO
  - Free list management makes things more complicated
- Insert record with duplicate checking / delete record
  - b/2: for successful search and no insert (on average)
  - b+1: in case of search without success and insert

## **Deleting Records**

- First issue: File fragmentation
  - Move records in block to gather larger chunks of free space
  - In case of underflow: Remove blocks
    - And change block translation table
- Second issue: Dangling pointers
  - In case of deletes, existing references (indexes) need to considered
  - Option 1: Update references
    - Requires to keep a list of all active references per record
    - · One record deletion results in multiple physical deletions
  - Option 2: Use tombstones
    - Only mark record as deleted (e.g. null in block-dir)
    - References are updated only when used
    - Very fast at deletion time, some effort later

- Sort records in file according to some attribute
  - Faster searching when this attribute is search key
  - More complex management order must be preserved
- Operations and associated costs
  - Search (using binsearch on blocks)
    - log(b) IO; searching in block is free (as always)
      - Note: That's mostly random IO!
  - Change / delete record based on value
    - First search in log( b)
    - Write changes / mark space as free
  - Insert record
    - First search correct position in log(b)
    - Then do what?

- General: Reserve free space in every new blocks
  - Don't fill blocks to 100% when allocated first time
  - Chances increase that later insertions can be handled in the block
- Option 1: Use space available in block
  - 1 additional IO for writing
- Option 2: Check neighbors
  - See X blocks down and X blocks up in the file (usually X=1)
  - When space is found, in-between records need to be moved
    - Add change block translation table
  - Cost: depends on how far we need/want to look
- Option 3: ?

- Option 3: Generate overflow blocks
  - Create a new, "orthogonal" overflow block and insert record
  - When blocks are connected by pointers
    - Sorted table scan still possible as blocks are chained in correct order
    - New block will not be in sequential physical order
  - When block is added at end of file
    - Sequential-IO table scan still possible, but not in order of attribute
    - Requires that continuous space is reserved for growing tables
      - Oracles "Extent"



- Some cost of keeping order (INSERT requires log(b) search first, management of overflow blocks, ...)
- Only one search key
  - Searching on other attributes requires linear scans
  - See multi-dimensional indexes
- Search time grows logarithmically with b
  - For 10.000.000 blocks, we need ~23 IO
- Can we do better?

## Idea 1: Interpolated search; Build Histograms

- Partition key value range into buckets
- Count number of keys in each bucket
- Searching: Start at estimated position of search key
  - Example: Search "Hampel", [A-C]=7500, [D-F]=6200, [G-I]=3300
  - Estimated position: 7500+6200+(3300/3)\*2 + ...
  - Continue with local search around estimated position
- Advantages
  - Very little IO if data is uniformly distributed exact estimates
  - Small space consumption when few buckets are used
    - But: the more buckets (higher granularity), the better the estimates
- Disadvantages
  - Histograms (statistics) need to be maintained (see later)
    - Updates and synchronized: Potential bottleneck for update operations on multiple records in the same bucket
  - Choosing optimal bucket number and range is difficult

## Content of this Lecture

- Caching
- File structure
  - Heap files
  - Sorted files
- Index Files

## Idea 2: Decrease b: Essential Info in less Blocks

- Use additional file (index) storing only keys and TIDs
- Searching: (Bin-)search index, then access data by TID
- Advantages
  - Data file need not be sorted any more
    - Faster inserts in data file, but additional cost for updating index
      - I:nteger keys: Fixed-length index entries; strings: Use fixed-length prefix
    - But no fast sorted scans anymore (e.g. for sort-merge join)
  - Faster search due to smaller records and less blocks:  $b_{index} < b_{records}$
  - Several indexes can be build for several attributes
    - More flexibility, more update cost
- Disadvantages
  - More files to manage, lock, recover, ...
  - Advantage shrinks if many tuples are selected (e.g. range queries)

- Data file has records sorted on key
- Index stores (first key, pointer) pairs for each data block
- Index record ( $k_i$ , ptr): For all k in ptr $\uparrow$ :  $k_i \le k \le k_{i+1}$
- Sparse index: Only put first key per block in index



Ulf Leser: Implementation of Database Systems, Winter Semester 2016/2017

- Search key in index using binsearch, then access by TID
- Advantages
  - Index has only few keys: b<sub>index</sub> << b<sub>records</sub>
    - Assume 10.000.000 records of size 200, |blockID|=10, |search key|=20, block size=4096
    - Number of blocks  $b = 10.000.000 \times 200/4096 = 500.000$
    - Access if kept sorted: log( 500.000) ~ 19 IO
    - Index-seq file: log( 500.000\*(10+20)/4096) ~ 12 IO +1 for data
  - Chances that index fits (mostly) into main memory
- Disadvantages
  - Only possible for one attribute (data file must be sorted)
  - More administration (compared to heap file)

#### Index-Sequential Files: Other Operations

- Insert record r with key k
  - Search for block  $b_i$  with  $k_i \le k \le k_{i+1}$
  - Free space in block? Insert r; done
  - Else, either check neighbors
    - Index needs to be updated, as block's first keys change
  - ... or create overflow blocks
    - Option 1: New block not represented in index; index not updated
      - More IO when searching data, as overflow blocks need to be followed
    - Option 2: Index is updated (more IO at time of insertion)
      - We need to insert into the index leave free space in index blocks!
- Ideas for improving search further?

#### Multi-Level Index Files



Ulf Leser: Implementation of Database Systems, Winter Semester 2016/2017

## Hierarchical Index-Sequential files

- Build a sparse, second-level index on the first-level index
  - First level may be spare or dense
  - All but the first level must be sparse; why?
- Advantages
  - Access time reduces further
    - Assume 10.000.000 records of size 200, |blockID|=10, |search key|=20, block size=4096, b = 500.000
    - Index-seq file: log( 500.000\*(10+20)/4096) = 12+1 block IO
    - With second level:  $\log(3662*(10+20)/4096) = 5+2$  blocks IO
    - With three levels:  $\log(28*(10+20)/4096) = 1+3$
  - Higher levels are very small cache permanently
- With more than one level, inserting becomes tricky
  - Either degradation (overflows) or costly reorganizations
  - Alternative: B-trees (later)

## Index Files and Duplicates

- What happens if search key is not unique in relation?
- Index file may
  - Store duplicates: one pointer for each record
  - Ignore duplicates: one pointer for each distinct value
    - Smaller index file
    - Requires sorted data file
    - "Semi-sparse" index
- Index degradation
  - If only few distinct values exist, every search selects many TID
    - E.g. index on Boolean attributes index has only two different entries
  - Semi-sparse index leads to less IO
  - But selects blocks in random IO scan might be cheaper

## Secondary Index Files

- Primary ind.: Index on attribute on which data file is sorted
- Secondary index: Index on any other attribute
  - Cannot exploit order in data file
  - Must be dense at first level
- Improvement: Use intermediate buckets
  - Buckets hold TIDs sorted by index key
  - Buckets don't store key values
  - Advantageous
    for low cardinality attributes



#### Buckets for Secondary Index Files

- Index stores keys and ptr to buckets; buckets store TIDs
- Good if many TIDs with same attribute value exist
- That's essentially a persistent hash partitioning
- Compute joins and AND's by intersecting TID-lists



## Example

- Query: "All employees in TOY dept. located on 2nd floor"
  - Use floor index to find TID-list  $L_1$
  - Use department index to load TID-list L<sub>2</sub>
  - Compute L=  $L_1 \cap L_2$
  - Load employee data only for TIDs in L
- Advantage increases with more conditions (STAR join)



- Per default: Secondary B\* tree indexes
- Data files usually are heap files
  - Exception: Index-organized tables (IOT)
  - Recommended only for "read-only" tables
- No primary indexes
  - Do not confuse with primary key there is always an index on a primary key (why?)
- Cluster index cluster two tables and index common key
  - Example: Cluster department and employee on common depNum
  - Tuples with same depNum will go into same data block
  - Cluster index: Create index on depNum (~ persistent join)
  - Oracle has no clustered indexes use index-organized tables

- Use primary index (index-organized file) on sorted file
- Build secondary index including all attributes of the table in desired second order
  - Example: employee (ID, name, dep#, income)
  - Create IOT employee (ID, name, dep#, income)
    - Sorted by ID
  - Create index on employee (name, ID, dep#, income)
    - Sorted by name
- Maintained by database
  - Doubled space consumption
  - Faster queries
  - Increased cost for UPDATE, DELETE, INSERT

## Excursion: Indexing Text

#### • Information retrieval

- Searching documents with words
- Typically, each document is represented as "bag of words"
- Queries search for documents containing a set of words
- Naïve relational database way fails
  - Indexed varchar2(64KB) attribute containing text
  - Doesn't allow for WORD queries
  - We cannot store each word in an extra column
- Alternatives?

#### **Inverted** Lists

- Build a secondary, bucketed index on the words ullet
- Find documents by intersecting buckets •
  - Enables AND, NOT or OR

