

# Algorithms and Data Structures

## Data Types

Ulf Leser

# Content of this Lecture

---

- Example
- Abstract Data Types
- Lists, Stacks, and Queues
- Realization in Java

# Problem


---

- Suppose you are in the centre of Hamburg and are **looking for the next (i.e., closest)** laptop repair shop
- Fortunately, your mobile knows your position and has a list of laptop repair shops in Hamburg
- How does your mobile find the **closest shop**?

# Classical Post Box Problem

- Suppose a city with  $n$  boxes located at arbitrary positions
- You wake up in the middle of the city with a letter in your hand; the letter should be thrown in the closest post box
- How do you find the closest post box?
  - You have a list with locations of all post boxes
- Looking at a map is not the answer
- Devise an algorithm

```
S: set_of_coordinates;  
c: coordinate (x,y)  
...
```



# Simple Solution

---

```
Input
  S: set_of_coordinates;
  c: coordinate (x,y);    # your loc
t: coordinate;           # closest box
m: real := MAXREAL;      # smal. dist
for each c'∈S do
  if m > distance(c,c') then
    m := distance(c,c');
    t := c';
  end if;
end for;
return t;
```

- How much work?

# Simple Solution


---

```
Input
  S: set_of_coordinates;
  c: coordinate (x,y);      # your loc
t: coordinate;              # closest box
m: real := MAXREAL;        # smal. dist
for each c'∈S do
  if m > distance(c,c') then
    m := distance(c,c');
    t := c';
  end if;
end for;
return t;
```

- Clearly, we can save the second call to “distance”
- Thus, we need to compute  $|S|$  distances, make  $|S|$  comparisons, and perform at most  $2*|S|$  assignments
- Together: We perform  $O(|S|)$  operations, which are either in  $O(1)$  or are distance computations

# Simple Solution


---



- We compute  $|S|$  distances ...
- **Euclidian distance**
  - 6 basic arithmetic ops per distance

$$\text{dist}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$$

# Not the only Option



- We compute  $|S|$  distances
- ...
- **Manhattan distance**
  - 5 basic operations

$$\text{dist}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$$



# Complexity



- We compute  $|S|$  distances
- ...
- Both cases:  $O(|S| * \text{dim}(S))$ 
  - $\text{dim}(S)$ : Number of dimensions of points in  $S$
  - If  $\text{dim}(S)=k$  is considered a constant:  $O(|S|)$



# Data Structure Point of View

---

```
input
  S: set_of_coordinates;
  c: coordinate (x,y);
  t: coordinate;
  m: real := MAXREAL;
For each c'∈S do
  if m > dist(c,c') then
    m := dist(c,c');
    t := c';
  end if;
end for;
return t;
```

- Data structures
  - We need a list of 2D-coordinates
  - The algorithm must iterate over the elements of this list in any order
  - A **linked list** would suffice
- Now assume we need to perform such **searches very often**
  - Can we represent S in **another way (S')**, such that searching requires less work?
  - Note: Time for **computing S' from S will be ignored**
    - Performed before searching starts
    - Assuming that S does not change

# Voronoi Diagrams



- **Pre-processing**: Compute for every point  $s \in S$  its **Voronoi area**, i.e., the area in which all points have  $s$  as **nearest point** from  $S$
- Can be achieved in  $O(|S| \cdot \log(|S|))$  time (no details here)
- Nearest-neighbor search using Voronoi diagrams is  $O(\log(|S|))$
- Conclusion: Finding a **proper data structure** does pay off

# More Abstract

---

- We want a **piece of software T** that
  - can store a list of coordinates (data structure)
  - can compute the nearest point to a given point  $c$  (algorithm)
- T must support (at least) two **operations**
  - `T.init(S: list_of_coordinates)`
  - `T.nearestNeighbor(c: coordinate): coordinate`
  - T apparently uses **another data structure**: “coordinate”
- Combinations of **data structures and operations** on these structures are called a **data type**
- If we only focus on sets and operations: **Abstract data type**
- With algorithms and implementations: **Physical data type**
  - Software libraries

# Content of this Lecture

---

- Example
- **Abstract Data Types**
- Lists, Stacks, and Queues
- Realization in Java

# Abstract Data Types (ADT)

---

- An ADT defines a **set of operations** over a **set of objects** of a certain (more basic) type
  - Or over **multiple sets** of objects of different or same types
- The set of operations and object types is called **signature**
- An ADT is **independent of an implementation**
  - Different physical data structures to represent the objects
  - Different algorithms to implement the operations
  - An ADT is independent of a programming language
- **Encapsulation**: Objects are accessed only through the operations defined in the ADT
- Implementation of a ADT: Physical data type

# Example ADT

---

```
type points
import
  coordinate;
operators
  add:      points x coordinate → points;
  neighbor: points x coordinate → coordinate;
```

- ADT that we could use for our app for searching shops
- We only need **two operations**
  - A way to insert shops (with their coordinates)
  - A way to get the nearest shop with respect to a given coordinate
  - [And a generic create / init operation)
- We assume basic data types to be given (string, int ...)
- Not the only way ...

# Modeling More Details

---

```
type shop
import
  coordinate;
operators
  getName: shop → string;
  getCoor: shop → coordinate;
```

```
type shops
import
  shop;
operators
  add: shops x shop → shops;
  neighborC: shops x coordinate → coordinate;
  neighborN: shops x coordinate → string;
  neighborS: shops x coordinate → shop;
```

- An ADT defines **what is necessary**
- Designing an ADT is a **modeling process**
  - Shop owner? Laptop models being repaired? Opening hours?
  - Depends on requirements, ease-of-use, extensibility, personal preferences, existing ADTs, ...
  - See lectures on Software Engineering



# Reusing Existing ADTs

---

- For implementing points (or shops), it would be helpful to **reuse something** that can hold a set of coordinates
- We **need a list** – an ADT in itself
  - A **parameterized ADT** – a list of elements of an **arbitrary ADT T**
  - For our ADT **points**, T will manage objects of type **coordinate**

```
type list(T)
import
  integer, bool;
operators
  isEmpty: list → bool;
  add:     list x T → list;
  delete:  list x T → list;
  contains: list x T → bool;
  length:  list → integer;
```

A data type – not a variable

# Axioms: What we know about an ADT

---

- We expect operations on lists to have a **certain semantic**
  - Adding an element increases length by one
    - If we assume **bag semantics**
  - Deleting an element that doesn't exist creates an error
  - If a list is empty, its length is 0
  - ...
- These can be encoded as **axioms**: Conditions that **must always hold**
  - Defined as logical formulas
  - Also called **invariants**

```
type list( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty:  list → bool;
  add:      list x T → list;
  contains: list x T → bool;
  delete:   list x T → list;
  length:   list → integer;
axioms: ∀ f: list, ∀ t: T
  length(add(f,t)) = length(f) + 1;
  length(f)=0 ⇔ isEmpty(f);
  ...
```

# List versus Points

---

```
type points
import
  coordinate, list(coordinate);
Operators
contains: points x coordinate → bool;
  # Can be implemented as list.contains
add:      points x coordinate → points;
  # Can be implemented as list.add
neighbor: points x coordinate → coordinate;
  # Not implemented in list!
axioms
neighbor(p,c) = {x | contains(p,x) ∧ ∀x' : contains(p, x') =>
  distance(x,c) ≤ distance(x',c)};
```

- `points` uses a list and adds further functionality
- What's wrong?
  - What happens if **multiple x have the same distance** to c?

# List versus Points

---

```
type points
import
  coordinate, list(coordinate);
Operators
contains: points x coordinate → bool;
add:      points x coordinate → points;
neighbor: points x coordinate → points;
axioms
neighbor(p,c) = {x | contains(p,x) ∧ ∀x' : contains(p,x') :
                 distance(x,c) ≤ distance(x',c)};
```

# Content of this Lecture

---

- Data Structures Again
- Abstract Data Types
- Example: Lists, Stacks, and Queues
  - Parameterized ADTs
- Realization in Java

# Lists, Stacks, Queues

---

- We looked at data types (points, shops) which essentially are lists
  - Canonical list operations: insert, search, delete, update, length
  - And **special operations**: nearestNeighbor
- There are many ways to implement the **general ADT list**
  - Arrays, linked lists, hash tables, trees, ...
  - We discuss many of them later
- Two types of lists are of exceptional importance in computer science: **Stacks and Queues**
  - Both support mostly two operations
  - These suffice for surprisingly many problems and applications
  - Both can be **implemented very efficiently**
    - More efficiently than in general lists with more operations

# Queues


---



- Two operations: Enqueue, dequeue
  - No access to the inner objects of the list – only head
- **Special semantic: First in, first out (FIFO)**
- Apps: Breadth-first traversal, shortest paths, BucketSort, ...

# Stacks

---



- Operations: push, pop
  - No access to the inner objects of the list – only tail
- Special semantic: Last in, first out (LIFO)
- Apps: Call stacks, backtracking, “Kellerautomaten”, ...



# As Abstract Data Types

---

```
type stack( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: stack → bool;
  push:    stack x T → stack;
  pop:     stack → stack;
  top:     stack → T;
```

```
type queue( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: queue → bool;
  enqueue: queue x T → queue;
  dequeue: queue → queue;
  head:    queue → T;
```

- Where is the **difference**?

# Signature does not Suffice

---

```
type a( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: a → bool;
  add:     a x T → a;
  remove:  a → a;
  give:    a → T;
```

```
type a( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: a → bool;
  add:     a x T → a;
  remove:  a → a;
  give:    a → T;
```

- Where is the difference?
- From the **signature alone**, there is no difference
- Yet – we expect a **different behavior**

# Defining the Difference

---

```
type stack( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: stack → bool;
  push:    stack x T → stack;
  pop:     stack → stack;
  top:     stack → T;
axioms ∀ s:stack, ∀ t:T
  top( push( s, t)) = t;
  pop( push( s, t)) = s;
```

```
type queue( T)
import
operators
  isEmpty: queue → bool;
  enqueue: queue x T → queue;
  dequeue: queue → queue;
  head:    queue → T;
axioms ∀ q:queue, ∀ t:T
  head( enqueue( q, t)) =
    if isEmpty( q): t
    else head( q);
  dequeue( enqueue( q, t)) =
    if isEmpty( q): q
    else enqueue( dequeue( q), t);
```

# Example

---

```
type queue( T)
...
dequeue( enqueue( q, t)) =
  if isEmpty( q): q
  else enqueue( dequeue(q), t);
```

```
d( e( <3,2>, 5)) = e( d( <3,2>), 5) =
  e( d( e( <3>, 2)), 5) =
  e( e( d( <3>), 2), 5) =
  e( e( d( e(<>), 3), 2), 5) =
  e( e( <>, 2), 5) =
  <2,5>
```

# Data Types: We Stop Here

---

- There are various ways to **formally specify the behavior** of operations of an ADT
- For instance: Algebraic specifications
  - Define an algebra over the object sets of the ADT
  - Includes axioms defining the **semantics of operations**
  - Axioms are essential to **prove aspects** of a system's behavior
    - Dream: Developers only specify and never program
  - See lecture on "Modellierung und Spezifikation"
- In this lecture, we only look at **signatures**
  - No axioms
  - Supported by **most programming languages** (e.g. Java interfaces)
  - Axioms are supported only in a very limited way (e.g. assertions)

# Content of this Lecture

---

- Data Structures Again
- Abstract Data Types
- Lists, Stacks, and Queues
- Realization in Java

# ADTs in Java

---

- Recall
  - An ADT summarizes the **essential operations** on a **set of objects**
  - An ADT is **independent of a realization**/implementation
  - Any implementation of a ADT is called a **concrete data type**
- Realization in Java?
- **Interfaces**
  - Only exhibit the essential operations on a class of objects
  - Can have different implementations
  - Can be implemented by a concrete class

# Remarks

---

- Java **does not support complex axioms** on interfaces
  - Some other languages do, e.g. contracts in Eiffel
  - Limited type of axioms: Assertions
  - You may, of course, always **program axioms yourself** (e.g. tests)
    - Enhanced robustness; decreased efficiency; make them “turn’able”
- Java adds important functionality for practical work which we ignore in this lecture
  - **Inheritance**, visibility (public, protected, ...), overloading, ...
  - Critical: **Encapsulation** – you must not see anything of an object / do anything with an object that is not represented in its interface
  - See lectures on Software Engineering
- Historically, **ADTs are a predecessor** of classes in programming languages



# Summary

---

- ADT's specify the possible **operations** on a data structure
- ADT's are **free of implementation** details
- We often discuss pros/contras of **different ways to implement** a given ADT
- We will often assume certain data types to be given
  - Always: Strings, integers, reals, ...
  - We make implicit assumptions on cost of operations: UCM
- (Formal) ADTs can be used for much more
  - Proving properties of a data type
  - Proving that a concrete data type implements a ADT
  - Proving that an implementation does not hurt axioms
  - **Program verification**

# Exemplary Questions

---

- What is an abstract data type, what is a physical data type?
- What are typical operations of a list? Of a stack?
- Imagine a class storing rectangles in a plane. We want to add and remove rectangles, test if there are any rectangles, and find all rectangles intersection of given one. Define the ADT. What could be possible axioms?