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## This Lecture

- Multiple Sequence Alignment
- The problem
- Theoretical approach: Sum-of-Pairs scores
- Practical approach: Clustal-W


## Multiple Sequence Alignment

- We now align multiple ( $k>2$ ) sequences
- Note: Also BLAST aligns only two sequences
- Why?
- Imagine $k$ sequences of the promoter region of genes, all regulated by the same transcription factor $f$. Which subsequence within the $k$ sequences is recognized by $f$ ?
- Imagine k sequences of proteins that bind to DNA. Which subsequence of the $k$ sequences code for the part of the proteins that performs the binding?
- General
- We want to know the common part(s) in $k$ sequences
- "common" does not mean identical
- These parts can be anywhere within the sequences


## Definition

- Definition
- A multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of $k$ Strings $s_{i j} 1 \leq i \leq k$, is a table of $k$ rows and I columns (sum( $\left./ s_{i} /\right) \geq I \geq \max \left(/ s_{i} /\right)$ ) such that
- Row i contains the sequence of $s_{j}$ with an arbitrary number of blanks being inserted at arbitrary positions
- Every symbol of every $s_{i}$ stands in exactly one column
- No column contains only blanks

```
AACGTGATTGAC
TCGAGTGCTTTACAGT GCCGTGCTAGTCG TTCAGTGGACGTGGTA GGTGCAGACC
```



## Good MSA

- We are searching for good (optimal) MSAs
- Defining „optimal" here is not as simple as in the $\mathrm{k}=2$ case
- Intuition
- All sequences had a common ancestor and evolved by evolution
- We want to assume as few evolutionary events as possible
- Thus, we want few columns ( $\sim$ few INSDELs)
- Thus, we want homogeneous columns ( $\sim$ few replacements)
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- Multiple Sequence Alignment
- The problem
- Theoretical approach: Sum-of-Pairs scores
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## What Should we Count?

- For two sequences
- We scored each column using a scoring matrix
- Find the alignment such that the total score is maximal
- But - how do we score a column with $5^{*} T, 3^{*} A, 1^{*}$ ?
- We would need an exponentially large scoring matrix
- Alternative: Sum-of-Pairs Score
- We only score the alignment of each pair of sequences
- We aggregate over all pairs to score the MSA
- We need a clever algorithm to find the MSA with the best score


## Formally

- Definition
- Let $M$ be a MSA for the set $S$ of sequences $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$
- The alignment of $s_{i}$ with $s_{j}$ induced by $M$ is generated as follows
- Remove from $M$ all rows except i and $j$
- Remove all columns that contain only blanks
- The sum-of-pairs score (sop) of $M$ is the sum of all pair-wise induced alignment scores
- The optimal MSA for $S$ wrt. sop is the MSA with the lowest sopscore over all possible MSAs for $S$


## Example



- Given a MSA over k sequences of length I - how complex is it to compute its sop-score?
- How do we find the best MSA?


## Analogy

- Think of the $\mathrm{k}=2$ case

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | w | r | i | + | e | r | s |
| 0 | 0 | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6. | 7 |
| 1 | 1 | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 i | 2 | 2 | 2. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 n | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3. | 3 | 4 | 5. | 6 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4. | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4. | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Every alignment is a path through the matrix
- The three possible directions (down, right, down-right) conform to the three possible constellations in a column (XX, X_, _X)
- With growing paths, we align growing prefixes of both sequences


## Analogy

- Assume k=3
- Think of a 3-dimensional cube with the three sequences giving the values in each dimension
- Now, we have paths aligning growing prefixes of three sequences
- Every column has seven possible constellations (XXX, XX_, X_X, XX, X__, _X_, __X)



## Concrete Examples

$$
d(i, j-1, k)
$$

$$
d(i-1, j, k-1)
$$



- Best sop-score for $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1, \mathrm{k})$ is known
- We want to compute $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})$
- This requires to align one symbol with two blanks (blank/blank does not count)
- $d(i, j, k)=d(i, j-1, k)+2$
- Best sop-score for d(i-1, j,k-1) is known
- We want to compute d(i,j,k)
- This requires aligning a blank with $\mathrm{s}_{1}[\mathrm{i}-1]$ and with $\mathrm{s}_{3}[\mathrm{k}-1]$ and to align $\mathrm{s}_{1}[\mathrm{i}-1]$ and $\mathrm{s}_{3}[\mathrm{k}-1]$
- $d(i, j, k)=d(i-1, j, k-1)+2+c_{i k}$


## Dynamic Programming in three Dimensions

- We compute the best possible alignment $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})$ for every triple of prefixes (lengths $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$ ) using the following formula
- We assume the usual edit costs: $I / D / R=+1, M=0$



## Initialization

- Of course, we have $\mathrm{d}(0,0,0)=0$
- Aligning in one dimension: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, 0,0)=2 * \mathrm{i}$
- Same for $\mathrm{d}(0, \mathrm{j}, 0), \mathrm{d}(0,0, k)$
- Aligning in two dimensions: $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, 0)=\ldots$
- Let $d_{a, b}(i, j)$ be the alignment score for $S_{a}[1 . . i]$ with $S_{b}[1 . . j]$
$-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, 0)=\mathrm{d}_{1,2}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})+(\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{j})$
$-d(i, 0, k)=d_{1,3}(i, k)+(i+k)$
$-d(0, j, k)=d_{2,3}(j, k)+(j+k)$


## Algorithm

```
initialize matrix d;
for i := 1 to |S |
    for j := 1 to | | S |
        for k := 1 to }|\mp@subsup{S}{3}{}
                            if (S (i) = S S (j)) then coij := 0; else coij := 1;
                            if (S (i) = S (k)) then civ := 0; else coik
    if (S (j) = S S (k)) then cojk := 0; else cojk}:=1\mathrm{ ;
    d
    d
    d
    d
    d
    d
    d
    d[i,j,k] := min(d
    end for;
    end for;
end for;
```


## Bad News: Complexity

- For 3 sequences of length $n$
- There are $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ cells in the cube
- For each cell (top-left-front corner), we need to look at 7 corners
- Together: O(7*n³) operations
- For $k$ sequences of length $n$
- There are $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{k}}$ cell corners in the cube
- For each corner, we need to look at $2^{\mathrm{k}}$-1 other corners
- Together: O(2 $\left.2^{k} n^{k}\right)$ operations


## Bad News: Biological Meaningfulness

- Recall our motivation: Measure evolution

- Real number of events: 8
- sop-score: $2+3+6+6+2+\ldots$
- Single mutations are counted multiple times


## This Lecture

- Multiple Sequence Alignment
- The problem
- Theoretical approach: Sum-of-Pairs scores
- Practical approach: Clustal-W


## Different Scoring Function

- If we knew the phylogenetic tree of the $k$ sequences
- Align every parent node pairwise with its children
- Aggregate all alignment scores
- This gives the "real" number of evolutionary operations
- But not yet the best MSA
- But: Finding the true phylogenetic tree requires a MSA
- Not covered in this lecture
- Use a heuristic: ClustalW
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. and Gibson, T. J. (1994). "CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice." Nucleic Acids Res 22(22): 4673-80.


## Clustal-W

- Main idea
- Compute a "good enough" phylogeny - the guide tree
- Use the guide tree to iteratively align small MSA to larger MSA
- "Progressive" MSA
- Starting from single sequences
- Add more and more sequences and smaller MSA to ever bigger MSA
- Needs a fast method to align two MSAs
- Standard method for a long time
- Many newer (better) proposals
- DAlign, T-Coffee, HMMT, PRRT, MULTALIGN, ...


## Step 1: Compute the Guide Tree

- Compute all pair-wise alignments and store in matrix $M$
$-M[i, j]=\operatorname{sim}\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right)$
- Compute the guide tree using hierarchical clustering
- Choose the smallest M[i,j]
- Let $s_{i}$ and $s_{j}$ form a new (next) branch of the tree
- Compute the distance from the ancestor of $s_{i}$ and $s_{j}$ to all other sequences as the average of the distances to $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- Set M' = M
- Delete rows and columns i and j
- Add a new column and row (ij)
- For all $\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{ij}: \mathrm{M}^{\prime}[\mathrm{ij}, \mathrm{k}]=(\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}]+\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}]) / 2$
- Iterate until $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ has only one column / row


## Sketch



## Example

|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A |  | 17 | 59 | 59 | 77 |
| B |  |  | 37 | 61 | 53 |
| C |  |  |  | 13 | 41 |
| D |  |  |  |  | 21 |



|  | A | B | E | CD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A |  | 17 | 77 | 59 |
| B |  |  | 53 | 49 |
| E |  |  |  | 31 |



|  | $E$ | $C D$ | $A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{E}$ |  | 31 | 65 |
| $\mathbf{C D}$ |  |  | 54 |



## Example



## Step 2: Progressive MSA

- Pair-wise alignment of MSAs in the order of the guide tree
- Aligning a MSA $M_{1}$ with a MSA $M_{2}$
- Use the usual (global) alignment algorithm
- To score a column, compute the average score over all pairs of symbols in these columns
- Example

| A | ...P... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | ...G... | Score of this column |
| C | ...P... | ( $2 *$ s ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{A})+\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Y})+$ |
|  |  | 2*s (G,A) +s (G,Y) + |
| D | ...A... | $2 * s(P, A)+s(P, Y)$ ) 9 |
| E | ...A. |  |
| F | ...Y... |  |

## Issues

- There is a lot to say about whether hierarchical clustering actually computes the "correct" tree
- Clustal-W actually uses a different, more accurate phylogenetic algorithm called "neighbor-joining"
- Clustal-W is fast: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \log (\mathrm{k})\right)$
- For $k$ sequences; plus cost for computing pairwise alignments
- Idea behind progressive alignment
- Find strong signals (highly conserved blocks) first
- Outliers are added last
- Increases the chances that conserved blocks survive
- Several improvements to this scheme are known


## Problems of Progressive MSA

```
1: MAYFIELD THE LAST FAT RER
2: MAYFIELD THE FAST RAT
3: MAYLEENE IS A FAT RAT
4: MAYROONI THE LAST BIG RAT
```



Angelehnt: Cedric Notredame, 2001

| MAYFIELD THE LAST FAT RER |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAYFIELD THE FAST RAT |  |  |  |
| MAYLEENE | IS | A | FAT |
| MAT |  |  |  |
| MAYROONI THE LAST BIG RAT |  |  |  |

## Besser:

| MAYFIELD THE LAST FAT RER |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAYFIELD THE FAST |  |  |
| MAYLEENE | IS | RAT |
| MAY | FAT RAT |  |

## Further Reading

- Merkl \& Waack, chapter 13
- Böckenhauer \& Bongartz, chapter 5.3

