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## This Lecture

- Approximate String Matching
- Edit distance and alignment
- Computing global alignments
- Local alignment


## Gene Function

- A fundamental principle of bioinformatics
- The function of a protein depends on its physical structure
- The physical structure depends on the protein sequence
- The protein sequence depends on the gene sequence
- If the sequence of two genes is only slightly different, so will be the protein sequence
- If the sequence of two proteins is only slightly different, so will be their structure
- If the structure of two proteins is only moderately different, they likely have the same (or at least share some) function
- Studying the sequence of genes allows the generation of hypotheses about their function


## How Genes Evolve

- Evolution, sequences, and function
- Any two species $X_{1}, X_{2}$ have a common ancestor $A$
- Any gene $G$ from $A$ will undergo independent evolution in $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, leading to genes $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$
- The more similar $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are, the more likely do they still have the same function (that of G)
- For any two genes of non-trivial length, the chance that they have a very similar sequence by chance is extremely small
- Corollary: If two genes $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ today are very similar, they most likely derive from the same ancestor and most likely have the same function
- How can we quantify this?


## Basic Evolutionary Events

- The simplest model: Single bases can be replaced (R), inserted (I), or deleted (D) (or kept (M))
- Any changes must be explained by sequences of I, D, R
- I.e., by singular evolutionary events accumulating over time
- We call this an edit script
- Very simple yet quite powerful model
- One more simplification



## Example: Eyeless (ey)

- Family of genes identified first in Drosophila
- When activated in arbitrary cells, non functional eyes start to grow at various places of the body
- ey is a "master gene" - controls a cascade of activations of other genes eventually leading to eye development
- Also inflicted with several other neural developments


## Eyes

A


D


Red: Only shadow Blue: Lenses etc. Green: Mirrors

Oval: Compound eyes Rectangle: Single chamber

Source: Treisman (2004).

- Eyes probably are an example of convergent evolution
- However, genes controlling eye development are highly conserved across a wide range of species


## Homologues of "eyeless isoform D" (DM)

| 2) job:201105063F73IVJY0G in UniProtKB by taxonomy - Mozilla Firefox |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Datei E-earbeiten Ansicht Ghronik Lesezeichen Extras Hilf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leqslant \Rightarrow \omega^{2}$ http://wwww.uniprot.org/uniprot/?query=job:201105063F73IvJY0G\&by=taxonomy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [0. Meistbesuchte Seiten $\square$ Nachsehen $\square$ Frequent wBI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

229 results for job:201105063F73IVJY0G in UniProtKB browsing bytaxonomy国 View result list
${ }^{+}$Ceractinomorpha (4)

- Eumetazoa (225) Bilateria (224)
- Coelomata (213
- Deuterostomia (135)
- Chordata (129)
+ Branchiostoma (10)
Urochordata (8)
- Vertebrata (111)
- Euteleostomi (109)
+ Clupeocephala (30)
- Tetrapoda (79)

Amniota (56)

+ Neognathae (8)
- Theria (48)
- Eutheria

E Euarchontoglires

+ Decapodiformes
Lineus sanguineus (Ribbon worm) (1)
- Platyhelminthes (5)

Dugesiidae (3)
Schistosoma mansoni (Blood fluke)

- Pseudocoelomata (6)

Brachionus plicatilis (Marine rotifer) (Brachionus muelleri) (1)

## This Lecture

- Approximate String Matching
- Edit distance and alignment
- Computing global alignments
- Local alignment


## Edit Scripts and Edit Distances

- Definition
- Let $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \in \Sigma^{*}$
- An edit script e is a sequence of operations $I, D, R, M$
- $e$ is an edit script for $A$ and $B$ iff $e(A)=B$
- Slightly underdetermined - which replacement? Which base to insert?
- The length of an edit script is the number of I,D,R it contains
- The edit distance between $A$ and $B$ is the length of the shortest edit script for $A$ and $B$
- Remarks
- If we know $e(A)=B$, determining $e^{\prime}$ with $e^{\prime}(B)=A$ is trivial
- The shortest edit script is not unique, but its length is
- MIMMMR IRMMMDI
A_TGTA AGTGTC _ATGTA AGTGT_C


## Alignment

- Edit scripts are intuitive from an evolutionary point-of-view, but somewhat clumsy from a computational point-of-view
- Definition
- $A$ (global) alignment of strings $A, B$ is an arrangement of $A$ and $B$, enriched with ,_, "at arbitrary positions, under each other such that no column contains two ,_"
- The score of an alignment is the number of "_ "plus the number of mismatching columns it contains
- The alignment distance between $A$ and $B$ is the minimal score of any alignment of $A$ and $B$
- Edit distance and alignment distance are essentially identical
- Examples
- A_TGT_A AGTGTC

Score:
3

A_T_GTA _AGAGAG
GAGAGA
2

AGAGAG_ _GAGAGA

## A Visual Approach: Dotplots

- $A$ dotplot of two strings $A, B$ is a matrix $M$ with
- The ith character in $A$ is represented by the i'th column
- The j'th character in B is represented by the j'th row
- M[i,j]=1 (blue) iff $A[i]=B[j]$

|  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T | G | C | A | A | T | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dotplot and Identical Substrings

- How do identical substrings look like in a dotplot?


- Diagonals from up-left to down-right
- Longest diagonal is the longest common substring


## Alignments and Dotplots

- Every alignment of $A, B$ can be uniquely mapped into a path through $M$
- The path starts in the upper-left corner (coord: 0,0)
- Go through the alignment column by column
- Next column is " $X,{ }_{\prime}$ " - move to the right
- Next column is " ${ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{X}$ " - move down
- Next column is " $X, Y$ " - move right-down

ATG___CGGTG__CAATG
ATGG__TGCA $\qquad$ T


ATGCGGTGCAATG ATGGTGCCAT $\qquad$


## Examples



ATGCGGTGCAATG ATG__GTGCA__T


- Clearly, the number $c(P)$ of 1's crossed in a diagonal step by a path $P$ is the same as $|P|-e(A, B)$
- Finding the path that minimizes $|P|-C(P)$ also solves the problem of computing the edit distance


## This Lecture

- Approximate String Matching
- Edit distance and alignment
- Computing global alignments
- Local alignment


## Algorithm

- How do we compute the edit distance of two strings?
- Naïve: Enumerate all paths, compute $c(P)$ for each

- Bad news: There exist $>3^{\min (m, n)}$ paths
- Good news: We can compute e(A,B) with $\sim 3^{*} m^{*} n$ operations


## Enumerating all Paths Recursively



## The naïve (recursive) Way

- Observation
- Let $|A|=n,|B|=m$
- Let $d(i, j)=e(A[. . i], B[. . j])$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$ with $d(i, 0)=i$ and $d(0, j)=j$
- We can compute $e(A, B)=d(n, m)$ recursively as follows

$$
d(i, j)=\min \left\{\begin{array}{c}
d(i, j-1)+1 \\
d(i-1, j)+1 \\
d(i-1, j-1)+t(i, j)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
t(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1: \text { if } \quad A[i] \neq B[j] \\
0: \text { else }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Algorithm

```
function d(i,j) {
    if (i = 0) return j;
    else if (j = 0) return i;
    else
            return min ( d(i,j-1) + 1,
                                    d(i-1,j) + 1,
                                    d(i-1,j-1) + t(A[i],B[j]));
}
function t(c, coch) {
    if (c, cocor me;
}
```


## What is Happening?



## Much Redundant Computation



There are only $\sim n^{*} \mathrm{~m}$ different parameter combinations

## Dynamic Programming - Using a Table

- Instead of computing top down (from $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}$ ), we compute all different values for $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ bottom up
- We store all values in a table
- We can immediately "compute" d(i,0) and d(0,j)
- Which values can we compute next?



## Example

$$
d(i, j)=\min \left\{\begin{array}{c}
d(i, j-1)+1 \\
d(i-1, j)+1 \\
d(i-1, j-1)+t(i, j)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| T | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| T | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| G | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| T | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| G | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| T | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| G | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## Finding the (an) optimal Alignment(s)

- Traceback
- We find the path from back to front
- Start at cell ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}$ )
- See which cells were used to compute d(n,m)
- Walk any of these - finds one optimal path
- Walking all means finding all optimal paths
- Alternative: Store pointers while filling the table

|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| T | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | -3 | - |  |  | 7 |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| T | $3$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| G | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |


|  |  | A | T | G | C | G | G | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | -3 | -4 | 5 | 6 | -7 |
| A | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | -4 | 5 |

## Complexity

- Building the table
- For every $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$, we need to access three other cells and make some (constantly many) additions and comparisons
- There are m*n cells
- Thus: approximately $3 *{ }^{*}{ }^{*} n$ operations
- Finding one optimal alignment
- We must walk from $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$ to $(1,1)$
- Such a path can have at most length m+n
- We cannot go wrong!
- Together: approximately m+n operations
- Together: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{n}\right)$ (for $\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}$ )


## Eyeless Again - a Closer Look

## Filter Overview Results • Jobinformation Customize order <br> Graphical overview



- The similar regions in the different homologues are not distributed randomly
- Actually, a single stretch of 128 AA, the PAX domain, is virtually unchanged in all homologues
- Controls binding to DNA and hence regulatory effects
- Typical: Only some parts of a gene are conserved, and these carry function


## This Lecture

- Approximate String Matching
- Edit distance and alignment
- Computing global alignments
- Local alignment


## Example

Zufall?


Kein Zufall!

IIIIIIIII
AG|G|AG|T|C|A|TAA|TA|C|ATA|TA|A|GA|GATTA|G|A|TA|TAT|T|GA|T|G

## Distance or Similarity

- Until now, we computed a global distance
- The higher $e(A, B)$, the less similar are $A$ and $B$
- The longer $A$ and $B$, the higher is their distance (in general)
- Different lengths are punished: $e(A, B) \geq||A|-|B||$
- Often, we want a local similarity instead
- If we have a sequence and don't know exactly where the genes are
- If a function is associated to a motif in a protein, i.e., a subsequence in the gene
- We need to search for substrings $A^{\prime} \in A, B^{\prime} \in B$ which are very similar to each other
- Further, $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ should have a certain length to be interesting
- $e\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ does not help - optimal distance is 0 for $A^{\prime}=B^{\prime}={ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$


## Sequence Similarity

- Let $|A|=|B|=n$
- A scoring function is a function s: $\Sigma^{\prime} \chi \Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow$ Integer
- We also call s a substitution matrix
- The ungapped similarity sim' of $A, B$ wrt. s is defined as

$$
\operatorname{sim}^{\prime}(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} s(A[i], B[i])
$$

- The similarity sim of $A, B$ (wrt. s) is the highest ungapped similarity score over all alignments of $A$ and $B$
- Higher = better; maximal similarity is $n * \max (\mathrm{~s})$
- We are not yet there: This still is a global similarity score


## Example

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{~T},{ }_{-}\right\}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 4 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -3 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |  | 4 | -1 | -2 | -3 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ |  |  | 4 | -2 | -3 |
| $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  |  | 4 | -3 |


| AC_GTC <br> AGGT_C | $=\mathbf{- 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ACGTC <br> AGGTC | $=15$ |
| A_CGTC  <br> AG_GTC $=10$ |  |

## Computation

- Same ideas as for edit distance apply
- But: We want a high similarity, not a low distance
- Thus, we can compute $\operatorname{sim}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ as $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
d(i, 0)=\sum_{k=1}^{i} s\left(A[k],,_{-}\right) \quad d(0, j)=\sum_{k=1}^{j} s\left({ }_{-}, B[k]\right) \\
\left.d(i, j) \neq \begin{array}{c}
d(i, j-1)+s\left(\_, B[j]\right) \\
d(i-1, j)+s(A[i],-) \\
d(i-1, j-1)+s(A[i], B[j])
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

|  | A | G | T | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| G |  | 4 | -1 | -1 |
| T |  |  | 4 | -1 |
| C |  |  |  | 4 |
| - | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 |

Edit Distance
Similarity

|  |  | A | G | G | T | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| G | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| T | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| C | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| C | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |


|  |  | A | G | G | T | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | -3 | -6 | -9 | -12 | -15 |
| A | -3 | 4 | 1 | -2 | -5 | -8 |
| G | -6 | 1 | 8 | 5 |  |  |
| T | -9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | -12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | -15 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Lokal Similarity = Local Alignment

- Definition
- The local similarity score sim* of $A, B$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{sim}^{*}(A, B)=\max _{\forall A^{\prime} \text { substringOf } A, B^{\prime} \text { substringOf } B}\left(\operatorname{sim}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

- Remark
- Inequality in string length does not matter any more
- Sounds terribly complex, but there is a neat trick


## ACCCCTAITCGIATAGCITAGAAGICTTCGAAAAITACCCIACCIAG|TAT IIII II II <br> AIGGAIGTCGAATAAATAICAITATTAAGAIGAITAGAAATAITAITTGAITG

## Example

Match: +1
I/R/D: -1

|  |  | A | T | G | T | G | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 |
| G |  |  |  | -1 |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |



## Smith-Waterman Algorithm

- Smith, Waterman: „Identification of common molecular subsequences", J. Mol. Bio 147, 1981
- Idea
- Note: Local paths need not span the entire strings
- Look at a single (global) path
- A series of matches (positive values for scoring function s) creates a series of increasing similarity values
- Any step with s<0 lowers the score
- Whenever the score gets below 0, we can forget this continuation of the path
- Instead of carrying on, we conceptually start a new (local) path
- To this end, we simply set d: =0 whenever it would be d<0
- The highest value in the matrix is the end of the best local path


## Computation

- The same ideas as before
- We compute $\operatorname{sim}^{*}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$ as $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$ with
- Assume $\forall X: s\left(X, \_\right)<0$ and $s\left(\_, X\right)<0$

$$
d(i, 0)=0 \quad d(0, j)=0
$$

$$
d(i, j)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
d(i, j-1)+s(,, B[j]) \\
d(i-1, j)+s\left(A[i], \_\right) \\
d(i-1, j-1)+s(A[i], B[j]) \\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Example

I/R/D: -1

|  |  | A | T | G | T | C | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 |
| A | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 |
| T | -2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 |
| G | -3 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

ATGTCG
ATG
ATGTCG AT _G

ATGTCG
A__T_G

|  |  | $A$ | T | G | T | C | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

ATGTCG
ATG $\qquad$

## Local versus global Alignment

- Global Alignment
- Comparison of two entire sequences
- Use when you know the sequences are related
- Interest: The differences
- Example: Proteins of the same family
- Local Alignment
- Finds interesting regions in yet uncharacterized sequences
- Use when trying to relate a sequence to other (known) sequences
- Interest: The similarities
- Often a first step before global alignment
- Example: Find similar genes in other species


## Beware: Not all Events are Equal

Wildtype

|  |  |  |  |  |  | DNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leu | Ser | Asp | Tyr | Gl | Ly | in |
| C TTAGGTGAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leu | Ser | A | Stop | -Coc |  | in |
| C TTAGTGAACTACIGGTAAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leu | Ser | His | A | Le | Thr | tein |
| CTTTAGCGACTACG\|GTAAA |  |  |  |  |  | DNA |
| Leu | Ser | Asp | T | Gly | Ly | otein |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | DN |
| Leu | Ser | Glu | Tyr | Gly | Lys | Protein |

Functional

## Further Reading

- Everywhere
- Relaxed: Christianini \& Hahn, Chapter 3
- Step by step: Waack, Chapter 9

