















10

SRS: 2. Overall Description

- Success in playing *Encounter* will be measured by the "life points" maximum attained by the player or by the ability of the player to live as long as possible.
- Some game characters are to be under the control of the player (one of them as "main"). The rest, called "foreign" characters, are to be under the application's control. In early versions of this game, there will be only one player-controlled character, and one foreign character.
- Game characters will have a fixed total number of points allocated among qualities: *strength*, *stamina*, *patience*, etc.
- Characters encounter each other when they are in the same area at the same time. The result of the engagement depends on the values of their qualities and on the environment in which the engagement takes place.

Živana Komlenov: The case study 'Encounter'

SRS: 2.1.1 Concept of operations

- *Encounter* can be in one of several states:
 - Setting up: the game is being set up by the player
 - *Reporting*: the system is displaying a window showing the status of the player's character
 - *Setting qualities*: equipping the player's character with qualities; can be performed as long as no foreign character is present.
 - Engaging: applies whenever a foreign character and the player's character are present in an area at the same time
 - *Waiting*: the player and the foreign character are not active



























































SRS: 3.2.FC Foreign characters 3.2.FC.2.1 Freddie foreign character There shall be a foreign character named "Freddie". This character shall initially have a total of 100 points, distributed equally among its qualities. 3.2.FC.3.1 Foreign character movement As long as it is alive, a foreign character should move from area to adjacent area at random intervals averaging two seconds. After being present in an area for a random amount of time averaging one second, all of the player's life points are divided among the qualities relevant to the area.

















50

SRS: Differences with respect to IEEE Standard [1]

- Encounter SRS complies to the IEEE Std 830-1998 (Revision of IEEE Std 830-1993).
- It modifies the standard by omitting some less important sections and by adding sections on concept of operations and use cases.
- Section 2.1 Product perspective was partly changed.
 - Encounter is here compared with other related or competing products, which provides perspective on the application.
 - According to the standard, there should be subheading
 2.1.1 System interfaces, listing each system interface and identifying functionality of the software.
 - It has been changed to 2.1.1 Concept of operations in order to accommodate "concept of operations".

Živana Komlenov: The case study 'Encounter'

SRS: Differences with respect to IEEE Standard [2]

- Requirements developers decided that state/transitions best convey the overall concept of the application.
- Section *3. Specific Requirements* was partly changed. (Encounter SRS uses object-oriented style.)
 - The biggest difference is in section 3.2 Classes/Objects (this is the correct headline according to the standard). OO style of Encounter SRS expects that detailed requirements are classified by classes.
 - Our section is titled 3.2 Specific requirements. It takes some liberties with the IEEE standards in order to account for use cases.
 - First it describes sequence diagrams required to express use cases of section 2.2 of the SRS. Classes required to express these use cases are then used to classify detailed requirements.







- Two designs are described:
 - I. Role-Playing Game Architecture Framework
 - II. Architecture of Encounter Role-Playing Game
- Each of those documents are designed properly, consisting of two main parts covering:
 - Architectural design
 - Concrete detailed design.
- The dependence of Encounter on the framework is specified in the Encounter case study.



























Conclusions

- Encounter is recommended to be used as a supplementary case study, not as the main one, since it is smaller (compared to the current main case study) and illustrates just some of the required concepts.
- Therefore, it is very suitable for practical assignments.
- This case study is generally developed well enough to start being used immediately, even as the main case study in shorter SE course version without structural analysis.
- There is no cost estimation, since it is generally difficult to produce one for this type of problems.